



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/050,796	03/30/1998		MICHAEL SUTTON	16529-2-2US	7265	
41434	7590	03/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PATTON BOGGS LLP				LEE, RIC	LEE, RICHARD J	
2550 M STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1350				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2613	2613	
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/15/2006	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`
\
_ /

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/050,796	SUTTON, MICHAEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard Lee	2613		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		A -4 11-14						
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Richard Lee	2613						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a					
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appea has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)					
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mpilani Amendmeni	(PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		il be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-18, 20-22</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			• •					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	1 2 <sub>1</sub>					
	·	Richard Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2613						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed changes to claim 1, and newly proposed claims 30-38 raise new issues.